



Illinois Envirothon

Judges' Scoring Sheet for Team Presentations

LUC# and County

Judge's Initials

Scoring Scale

- 0 - not at all
- 1 - poor or poorly
- 2 - fair or slightly well
- 3 - good or fairly well
- 4 - excellent or very well
- 5 - outstanding

Part 1 - Preparation & Presentation of Plan (30 Points maximum)

Circle Score

A. How well did the presentation address or identify:

The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different natural resource management strategies?

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem?

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

The major natural resources areas (soils/landuse, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildlife)?

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

The current issue?

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem?

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

B. Were references and resources cited in the team presentation?

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Part 1 Subtotal

Part 2 - Application of Data (35 Points maximum)

Circle Score

A. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, impact on political system/community).

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

B. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of Urban/Community Forestry issue(s)

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

C. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the costs and benefits of the proposed plan, (costs of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, costs of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), etc.)

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

D. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/or cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice).

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

E. The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue that has potential to being applied or implemented.

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

F. The main parts were clearly stated and supported.

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

G. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups & issues?

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Part 2 Subtotal

Illinois Envirothon

Part 3 - Quality of Presentation (20 Points maximum)

Circle Score

A. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion.

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

B. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.)

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.)

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

D. Questions were answered logically & concisely by all team members participating.

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Part 3 Subtotal

Part 4 - Required Elements (15 Points maximum)

Circle Score

A. Team member participation in presentation (1 point per team member)

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

B. Add up to five points if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time scale and the team made effective use of their time. (Time scale is 13-15 minutes.)

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

5 pts. = 13-15 mins.	4 pts. = 11-12 mins.	3 pts. = 9-10 mins.	0 pts. = 8:59 or less
----------------------	----------------------	---------------------	-----------------------

C. Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan.

0	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Part 4 Subtotal

FINAL SCORE

Total Points for Part 1

(30 maximum)

Total Points for Part 2

(35 maximum)

Total Points for Part 3

(20 maximum)

Total Points for Part 4

(15 maximum)

FINAL SCORE (out of 100 points)

Scale for scoring

- | | |
|---|--|
| 0 | Not at all |
| 1 | Major misconceptions and gaps; ineffective, inadequate, inappropriate |
| 2 | Some misconceptions and flaws; minimally effective, somewhat appropriate |
| 3 | Complete and accurate; effective, adequate and appropriate |
| 4 | Complete, very detailed, logical, ideas well supported & well organized; highly effective, all details appropriate |
| 5 | Profound, in-depth, done in an insightful manner; extremely effective, points to a most effective strategy. |